

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,451	MARI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN KIM	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN KIM. (3) _____

(2) JOHN LUCE. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stewart et al (US Patent No. 5,128,048).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: New recitation of "said leukocyte filter being configured to filter leukocytes and to allow platelets to pass therethrough" in claim 1 overcomes Stewart et al reference as the leukocyte filter of Stewart et al blocks out platelets. Amended claim 1 will be further studied.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John Kim/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797